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Discrete choice demand model:
$\triangleright$ Consumers choose a product if it maximizes their latent utility
$\triangleright$ T1EV-assumption for latent utility shocks results in logit-model

Estimation w/ endogenous prices (Berry, 1994; Berry et al., 1995):
$\triangleright$ Equate market shares \& conditional choice probabilities (CCPs)
$\triangleright$ 1-1 mapping between shares and latent demand shocks
$\triangleright$ NFP estimator based on nonlinear demand inversion

But market shares are often estimated from consumer choices
$\triangleright$ Issue 1: Inference may be invalid
$\triangleright$ Issue 2: Demand estimators cannot always be computed

## Zero-Market-Share Example: Dominick's Finer Foods Data

## Table 1: Selected Product Categories

| Category | Average <br> Number of <br> UPC's in a | Percent <br> of Total <br> Sale of | Percent of <br> Zero Sales |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Store/Week <br> Pair | Top 20\% <br> UPC's |  |
| Beer | 179 | $87.18 \%$ | $50.45 \%$ |
| Cereals | 212 | $72.08 \%$ | $27.14 \%$ |
| Dish Detergent | 115 | $69.04 \%$ | $42.39 \%$ |
| Frozen Juices | 94 | $75.16 \%$ | $23.54 \%$ |
| Laundry Detergents | 200 | $65.52 \%$ | $50.46 \%$ |
| Paper Towels | 56 | $83.56 \%$ | $48.27 \%$ |
| Soft Drinks | 537 | $91.21 \%$ | $38.54 \%$ |
| Soaps | 140 | $77.26 \%$ | $44.39 \%$ |
| Toothbrushes | 137 | $73.69 \%$ | $58.63 \%$ |
| Bathroom Tissues | 50 | $84.06 \%$ | $28.14 \%$ |

Notes. Excerpt of Table 2 of Gandhi et al. (2013).

## Contribution to Literature

This paper:
$\triangleright$ Views discrepancy between shares and CCPs as a finite-sample issue
$\triangleright$ Uses concentration inequalities to bound deviations of shares/CCPs
$\triangleright$ Develops feasible consistent estimator w/ estimated market shares
$\triangleright$ Provides inference in settings w/ non-negligible sampling error
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## Random coefficient logit model

## Assumption 1

Consumers choose an alternative from $\mathcal{J} \equiv\{0, \ldots, J\}$ via

$$
Y_{i}=\underset{j \in \mathcal{J}}{\arg \max } X_{j}^{\top} \beta_{i}+\xi_{j}+\varepsilon_{i, j} .
$$

Latent utility shocks $\varepsilon_{i, j}$ are i.i.d. T1EV. Customer preference parameters $\beta_{i}$ are i.i.d. multivariate normal with parameter $\theta \equiv(\mu, \Sigma) \in \Theta$.

Here:
$\triangleright X=\left(X_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, X_{J}^{\top}\right) \equiv$ product characteristics (e.g., prices)
$\triangleright \xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{J}\right) \equiv$ latent demand shocks
$\triangleright \varepsilon_{i}=\left(\varepsilon_{i 1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i J}\right) \equiv$ additively separable latent utility shocks
Endogeneity concern: $E\left[\xi_{j} \mid X_{j}\right] \neq 0$

## Random coefficient logit model (Contd.)

Integrating over $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}=j \mid X, \xi ; \theta\right)=\int \frac{\exp \left(X_{j} \beta+\xi_{j}\right)}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{J} \exp \left(X_{k} \beta+\xi_{k}\right)} d F(\beta ; \theta), \quad \forall j \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi(X, \xi ; \theta)$ denote $J \times 1$ vector of CCPs

Berry (1994) proves demand inversion:
$\triangleright \forall(X, \theta)$ and $s \in(0,1)^{J}$, there exists a unique solution to

$$
\pi(X, \xi ; \theta)-s=0
$$

Denoted: $\xi(s, X ; \theta)$

## BLP Estimator

## Assumption 2

There exists a vector of instruments $Z$ such that

$$
E\left[Z^{\top} \xi\right]=0
$$

When $\left\{\left(S_{t}, X_{t}, Z_{t}\right)\right\}_{t=1}^{T} \stackrel{i i d}{\sim}(\pi(X, \xi ; \theta), X, Z)$, this motivates

$$
\hat{\theta}_{T}^{b l p} \equiv \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\arg \min }\left\|\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi\left(S_{t}, X_{t} ; \theta\right)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Note: Typically weighted by $W_{T}^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t} Z_{t}^{\top}\right)^{-1 / 2}$

## Estimated Market Shares

But: Population-level market shares $S_{t}$ are seldom observed directly

## Assumption 3

Observed market shares are sample averages of $n$ consumer choices:

$$
S_{j}^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{j}\left(Y_{i}\right), \quad \forall j
$$

and $S^{(n)}=\left(S_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, S_{J}^{(n)}\right)$.

Observables we consider:
Assumption 4
The data is $\left(S_{t}^{(n)}, X_{t}, Z_{t}\right) \stackrel{i i d}{\sim}\left(S^{(n)}, X, Z\right), \forall t=1, \ldots, T$.
Note: $E\left[S_{t}^{(n)}\right]=S_{t}$
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## Issues from Estimated Market Shares

Using $S_{t}^{(n)}$ rather than $S_{t}$ in estimation causes two key issues

Subtle issue: Incidental parameter problem
$\triangleright$ Estimation error $S_{t}^{(n)}-S_{t}$ does not "cancel out"
$\triangleright$ Freyberger (2015): $\sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\theta}_{T}^{b / p}-\theta_{0}\right)$ with $S_{t}^{(n)}$ unbounded unless

$$
\sqrt{T}=o(n)
$$

■ \# consumers must grow sufficiently quickly relative to \# markets

Salient issue: Zero-market-share problem
$\triangleright$ Demand inversion only defined for $s \in(0,1)^{\jmath}$ but supp $S_{t}^{(n)}=[0,1]^{J}$
$\triangleright \hat{\theta}_{T}^{b / p}$ cannot be computed when $\exists j, t: S_{j, t}^{(n)}=0$

## Illustration: Infeasible MPEC Estimation

MPEC estimator of Dubé et al. (2012) equivalent to $\hat{\theta}_{T}^{b / p}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{(\theta, \xi)} & \left\|\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi_{t}\right\|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2}\\
\text { s.t. } & S_{j, t}=\int \frac{\exp \left(X_{j, t} \beta+\xi_{j, t}\right)}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{J} \exp \left(X_{k, t} \beta+\xi_{k, t}\right)} d F(\beta ; \theta), \forall t, j
\end{array}
$$

Replacing $S_{j, t}$ with $S_{j, t}^{(n)}$ when $\exists t, j: S_{j, t}^{(n)}=0$ implies no feasible solution $\triangleright$ "Zero-market-share" problem

In practice: Ad-hoc data manipulation to force-fit BLP estimator:
$\triangleright$ Remove $j, t$ with $S_{j, t}^{(n)}=0$ or add $\varepsilon>0$ to zero-valued $S_{j, t}^{(n)}$
$\triangleright$ When $\sqrt{T}=O(n)$, asymp. inference with ad-hoc solutions is ok
Or: Deviate from BLP (e.g., Dubé et al., 2021; Gandhi et al., 2023)
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## MPEC with Estimated/Zero-Valued Shares (EZ-MPEC)

We propose $\hat{\theta}_{T}^{\text {ezmpec }}$ minimizer to:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{(\theta, \xi)} & \left\|\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi_{t} \cdot D_{t, n, T}^{\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right)}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & S_{j, t}^{(n)} \in C_{n, T}^{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta, \alpha_{n, T}\right), \forall t, j
\end{array}
$$

Here:
$\triangleright C_{n, T} \equiv$ closed probabilistic bounds on $S_{j, t}^{(n)}-S_{j, t}$
$\triangleright \alpha_{n, T} \equiv$ hyperparameter s.t. $1-\alpha_{n, T}$ is the uniform coverage rate
$\triangleright D_{t, n, T}^{\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right)} \equiv$ indicators for whether $\{0,1\}$ is in the confidence band

## Uniform Bounds

## Proposition 1

Fix $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then with Hoeffding's inequality, it holds that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\exists j, t:\left|S_{j, t}^{(n)}-\pi_{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta_{0}\right)\right| \geq \sqrt{\frac{\log \left(\frac{2 J}{1-\sqrt[T]{1-\alpha}}\right)}{2 n}}\right) \leq \alpha
$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$. With Binomial quantiles, it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\exists j, t: S_{j, t}^{(n)} \notin\left[\frac{1}{n}\right.\right. & F_{\mathrm{Bin}}^{-1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt[T]{1-\alpha}}{J}, \pi_{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta_{0}\right), n\right), \\
& \left.\left.\frac{1}{n} F_{\mathrm{Bin}}^{-1}\left(1-\frac{1-\sqrt[T]{1-\alpha}}{J}, \pi_{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta_{0}\right), n\right)\right]\right) \leq \alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_{++}$, where $F_{\text {Bin }}^{-1}$ denotes the quantile function of the Binomial distribution. For $J=1$, the second inequality holds with equality.

## EZ-MPEC Estimation w/ Hoeffding's Bounds

When using Hoeffding's bounds, $\hat{\theta}_{T}^{\text {ezmpec }}$ is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min _{(\theta, \xi)} & \left\|\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi_{t} \cdot\left(\mathbb{1}\left\{S_{j, t}^{(n)} \in\left(\delta_{n, T}\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right), 1-\delta_{n, T}\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right)\right)\right\}\right)_{j=1}^{J}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & S_{j, t}^{(n)}-\pi_{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta\right) \leq \delta_{n, T}\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right), \forall j, t \\
& \pi_{j}\left(X_{t}, \xi_{t} ; \theta\right)-S_{j, t}^{(n)} \leq \delta_{n, T}\left(\alpha_{n, T}\right), \forall j, t
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{n, T}(\alpha) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\log \left(\frac{2 J}{1-\sqrt[T]{1-\alpha}}\right)}{2 n}}
$$

Basically MPEC but w/ inequality constraints

## Consistency

In addition assume:
$\triangleright \exists \gamma \in(0,1)$ such that $P\left(\pi\left(X, \xi ; \theta_{0}\right) \in[\gamma, 1-\gamma]^{J}\right)=1$
$\triangleright \Theta$, supp $X, \operatorname{supp} Z$ are compact
$\triangleright$ full rank and boundedness of $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} Z_{t}$
$\triangleright \theta_{0}$ is identified from the moment condition $E\left[Z^{\top} \xi\right]=0$

Theorem 1
If $\alpha_{n, T} \in(0,1): \alpha_{n, T}=o(1)$ and $\log (T)=o(n)$, then as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sup _{\tilde{\theta} \in \Theta_{n, T}^{*}}\left\|\tilde{\theta}-\theta_{0}\right\| \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

where $\Theta_{n, T}^{*}$ denotes the arg min of the EZ-MPEC estimator with hyperparameter $\alpha_{n, T}$, and $\theta_{0}$ are the true demand parameters.
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Consider hypothesis tests of the form

$$
H_{0}: \theta_{0}=\theta \quad \text { versus } \quad H_{1}: \theta_{0} \neq \theta
$$

For this purpose, we propose the test statistic $\widehat{B}_{T}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{B}_{T}(\alpha) \equiv \min _{\left\{\tilde{\xi}_{\xi}\right\}_{t=1}^{\top}} & \left\|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\xi}_{t}^{\top} Z_{t} Z_{t}^{\top} \hat{\xi}_{t} \cdot D_{t, n, T}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \tilde{\xi}_{t} \cdot D_{t, n, T}^{(\alpha)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } & S_{j, t}^{(n)} \in C_{n, T}^{j}\left(X_{t}, \tilde{\xi}_{t} ; \theta, \alpha\right), \forall t, j
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\left(\hat{\xi}_{t}\right)_{t=1}^{T}$ are consistent first-step estimates
$\triangleright$ E.g., from EZ-MPEC or the ad-hoc BLP estimator

## Inference (Contd.)

## Theorem 2

Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Then, under $H_{0}, \forall \tau, \alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$,

$$
\limsup _{n, T \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\mathbb{1}\left\{\widehat{B}_{T}(\alpha)>c_{K}^{1-\tau}\right\}\right] \leq \tau(1-\alpha)+\alpha,
$$

where $c_{K}^{1-\tau}$ is the $1-\tau$ quantile of a $\chi^{2}$ distribution with $K$ d.o.f.
Two alternatives for test at significance level $\tilde{\tau}$ :
$\triangleright$ Take $\tau, \alpha: \tau(1-\alpha)+\alpha=\tilde{\tau}$
$\triangleright$ Suppose $\alpha=\alpha_{n, T}=o(1)$ and take $\tau=\tilde{\tau}$
Importantly: Valid inference when $\log (T)=O(n)$
$\triangleright$ Improvement over $\sqrt{T}=o(n)$ of $\hat{\theta}_{T}^{b l p}$ with $S_{t}^{(n)}$ (Freyberger, 2015)
$\triangleright$ Allows for non-negligible sampling error
Confidence intervals constructed via test inversion

## Subvector Inference

Confidence interval for $\theta_{0}$ requires grid-search
$\triangleright$ Computationally demanding for many product characteristics
For a function $R: \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and vector $r \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, consider

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}: R\left(\theta_{0}\right)=r \quad \text { versus } \quad H_{1}: R^{\top} \theta_{0} \neq r \\
\tilde{B}_{T}(\alpha) \equiv \min _{\tilde{\theta},\left\{\tilde{\xi}_{t}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}}\left\|\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\xi}_{t}^{\top} Z_{t} Z_{t}^{\top} \hat{\xi}_{t} \cdot D_{t, n, T}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \tilde{\xi}_{t} \cdot D_{t, n, T}^{(\alpha)}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\text { s.t. } \quad S_{j, t}^{(n)} \in C_{n, T}^{j}\left(X_{t}, \tilde{\xi}_{t} ; \tilde{\theta}, \alpha\right), \forall t, j \\
\\
R(\tilde{\theta})=r
\end{gathered}
$$

Then as before: $\lim \sup _{n, T \rightarrow \infty} E\left[\mathbb{1}\left\{\tilde{B}_{T}(\alpha)>c_{K}^{1-\tau}\right\}\right] \leq \tau+\alpha$
Subvector inference: $H_{0}: R^{\top} \theta_{0}=r$
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## Monte Carlo Simulations

Monte Carlo:
$\triangleright T=50, J=5, n$ varying
$\triangleright 3$ product characteristics $X_{j, t} \&$ prices $p_{j, t}$
$\triangleright$ prices $p_{j, t}$ correlated $\mathrm{w} / \xi_{j, t}$
$\triangleright$ excluded instruments $Z_{j, t}$ included $\mathrm{w} /$ series expansion

Results based on 1,000 repetitions

## Simulation w/o Random Coefficients

First: $\beta_{i}$ fixed.
$\triangleright \hat{\theta}_{T}^{b / p}$ simplifies to TSLS w/ outcome $\log \left(S_{j, t}\right) / \log \left(S_{0, t}\right)$
TSLS w/ $S_{t}^{(n)}$ is computed w/o observation for which $S_{j, t}^{(n)}=0$

Table 2: MAE for DGP w/o Random Coefficients

| $n$ | TSLS w/ $S_{t}$ <br> (infeasible) <br> $(1)$ | TSLS w/ $S_{t}^{(n)}$ <br> (feasible) <br> $(2)$ | EZ-MPEC <br> (feasible) <br> $(3)$ | Share of $S_{t}^{(n)}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 0.088 | 0.260 | 0.185 | $(4)$ |
| 2000 | 0.091 | 0.191 | 0.166 | 0.095 |
| 3000 | 0.084 | 0.167 | 0.154 | 0.068 |
| 4000 | 0.088 | 0.173 | 0.150 | 0.054 |
| 5000 | 0.092 | 0.150 | 0.158 | 0.046 |

Notes. Results based on 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations. Throughout, $T=$ 50 and $J=5$.

## Simulation w/ Random Coefficients

Second: $\beta_{i}$ is multivariate normal
MPEC $\mathrm{w} / S_{t}^{(n)}$ is computed $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}$ observation for which $S_{j, t}^{(n)}=0$

Table 3: MAE for DGP w/ Random Coefficients

| $n$ | MPEC w/ $S_{t}$ <br> (infeasible) <br> $(1)$ | MPEC w/ $S_{t}^{(n)}$ <br> (feasible) | EZ-MPEC <br> (feasible) | Share of $S_{t}^{(n)}=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1000 | 0.148 | 0.263 | $(2)$ | $(4)$ |
| 2000 | 0.151 | 0.222 | 0.250 | 0.061 |
| 3000 | 0.142 | 0.213 | 0.189 | 0.040 |
| 4000 | 0.146 | 0.199 | 0.178 | 0.031 |
| 5000 | 0.134 | 0.199 | 0.171 | 0.026 |

Notes. Results based on 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations. Throughout, $T=$ 50 and $J=5$.

This paper:
$\triangleright$ Develops feasible consistent estimator for BLP model
$\triangleright$ Provides valid inference in settings w/ non-negligble sampling error

Most relevant in settings with:
$\triangleright$ Relatively few consumers per market
$\triangleright$ Zero-valued market shares

Work in progress:
$\triangleright$ More extensive simulation study
$\triangleright$ Empirical application
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Let $G_{T}$ denote the (infeasible) objective function of $\hat{\theta}_{T}^{b / p}$ :

$$
G_{T}(\theta)=\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi\left(S_{t}, X_{t}, \theta\right)\right)^{\top} W_{T}\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_{t}^{\top} \xi\left(S_{t}, X_{t}, \theta\right)\right)
$$

## Assumption 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall \delta>0, & \exists M(\delta)>0, \text { such that } \\
& \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\inf _{\theta \in \Theta:\left\|\theta-\theta_{0}\right\|>\delta}\left\|G_{T}(\theta)-G_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right\| \geq M(\delta)\right)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

